STATE OF MINNESOTA

EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION — 2016

NINETY-SEVENTH DAY

SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 2016

The House of Representatives convened at 9:00 a.m. and was called to order by Mark Anderson, Speaker pro tempore.

Prayer was offered by Rabbi Sim Glaser, Temple Israel, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

The members of the House gave the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following members were present:

Albright	Davnie	Heintzeman	Loeffler	Nornes	Selcer
Allen	Dean, M.	Hertaus	Lohmer	Norton	Simonson
Anderson, C.	Dehn, R.	Hilstrom	Loon	O'Driscoll	Slocum
Anderson, M.	Dettmer	Hoppe	Loonan	O'Neill	Smith
Anderson, P.	Drazkowski	Hornstein	Lucero	Pelowski	Sundin
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Hortman	Lueck	Peppin	Swedzinski
Anzelc	Erickson	Howe	Mahoney	Persell	Theis
Applebaum	Fabian	Isaacson	Marquart	Petersburg	Thissen
Atkins	Fenton	Johnson, B.	Masin	Peterson	Torkelson
Backer	Fischer	Johnson, C.	McDonald	Pierson	Uglem
Baker	Flanagan	Johnson, S.	McNamara	Pinto	Urdahl
Barrett	Franson	Kahn	Metsa	Poppe	Vogel
Bennett	Freiberg	Kelly	Miller	Pugh	Wagenius
Bernardy	Green	Kiel	Moran	Quam	Whelan
Bly	Gruenhagen	Knoblach	Mullery	Rarick	Wills
Carlson	Gunther	Koznick	Murphy, E.	Rosenthal	Yarusso
Christensen	Hackbarth	Kresha	Murphy, M.	Runbeck	Youakim
Considine	Halverson	Laine	Nash	Sanders	Zerwas
Cornish	Hamilton	Liebling	Nelson	Schoen	Spk. Daudt
Daniels	Hancock	Lien	Newberger	Schomacker	_
Davids	Hansen	Lillie	Newton	Scott	

A quorum was present.

Hausman, Melin and Ward were excused.

Lesch and Mack were excused until 1:15 p.m. Erhardt was excused until 1:20 p.m. Clark was excused until 1:25 p.m. Mariani and Schultz were excused until 1:45 p.m. Garofalo was excused until 1:50 p.m.

The Chief Clerk proceeded to read the Journals of the preceding days. There being no objection, further reading of the Journals was dispensed with and the Journals were approved as corrected by the Chief Clerk.

REPORTS OF CHIEF CLERK

S. F. No. 1898 and H. F. No. 2451, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

Rarick moved that S. F. No. 1898 be substituted for H. F. No. 2451 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 2764 and H. F. No. 2932, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

Pugh moved that S. F. No. 2764 be substituted for H. F. No. 2932 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 3018 and H. F. No. 3231, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

Anderson, P., moved that S. F. No. 3018 be substituted for H. F. No. 3231 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 3216 and H. F. No. 3512, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

O'Neill moved that S. F. No. 3216 be substituted for H. F. No. 3512 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were received:

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR SAINT PAUL 55155

May 6, 2016

The Honorable Kurt Daudt Speaker of the House of Representatives The State of Minnesota

Dear Speaker Daudt:

Please be advised that I have received, approved, signed, and deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State the following House Files:

H. F. No. 2956, relating to local government; amending the membership of the Dakota County Community Development Agency; amending laws relating to the Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Agency; creating the Washington County Community Development Agency; deleting obsolete language.

- H. F. No. 2514, relating to economic development; modifying host community economic development grants.
- H. F. No. 1674, relating to state government; modifying procedures for creation, annexation, detachment, and dissolution of sanitary districts; modifying filing and hearing requirements.

Sincerely,

MARK DAYTON Governor

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE ST. PAUL 55155

The Honorable Kurt L. Daudt Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable Sandra L. Pappas President of the Senate

I have the honor to inform you that the following enrolled Acts of the 2016 Session of the State Legislature have been received from the Office of the Governor and are deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State for preservation, pursuant to the State Constitution, Article IV, Section 23:

			Time and	
S. F.	H. F.	Session Laws	Date Approved	Date Filed
No.	No.	Chapter No.	2016	2016
	2956	92	3:16 p.m. May 6	May 6
2227		93	3:19 p.m. May 6	May 6
	2514	94	3:20 p.m. May 6	May 6
	1674	95	3:21 p.m. May 6	May 6
3084		96	3:21 p.m. May 6	May 6

Sincerely,

STEVE SIMON
Secretary of State

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES AND DIVISIONS

Scott from the Committee on Civil Law and Data Practices to which was referred:

S. F. No. 498, A bill for an act relating to data practices; classifying portable recording system data; establishing requirements for the destruction of data; requiring policies; imposing requirements on vendors and providing for damage awards; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivision 15, by adding subdivisions; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 626.

Reported the same back with the following amendments:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

- "Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 13.82, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. **Arrest data.** The following data created or collected by law enforcement agencies which document any actions taken by them to cite, arrest, incarcerate or otherwise substantially deprive an adult individual of liberty shall be public at all times in the originating agency:
 - (a) time, date and place of the action;
 - (b) any resistance encountered by the agency;
 - (c) any pursuit engaged in by the agency;
 - (d) whether any weapons were used by the agency or other individual;
 - (e) the charge, arrest or search warrants, or other legal basis for the action;
 - (f) the identities of the agencies, units within the agencies and individual persons taking the action;
 - (g) whether and where the individual is being held in custody or is being incarcerated by the agency;
- (h) the date, time and legal basis for any transfer of custody and the identity of the agency or person who received custody;
 - (i) the date, time and legal basis for any release from custody or incarceration;
- (j) the name, age, sex and last known address of an adult person or the age and sex of any juvenile person cited, arrested, incarcerated or otherwise substantially deprived of liberty;
- (k) whether the agency employed an a portable recording system, automated license plate reader, wiretaps or other eavesdropping techniques, unless the release of this specific data would jeopardize an ongoing investigation;
- (l) the manner in which the agencies received the information that led to the arrest and the names of individuals who supplied the information unless the identities of those individuals qualify for protection under subdivision 17; and
 - (m) response or incident report number.
 - Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivision 6, is amended to read:
- Subd. 6. **Response or incident data.** The following data created or collected by law enforcement agencies which document the agency's response to a request for service including, but not limited to, responses to traffic accidents, or which describe actions taken by the agency on its own initiative shall be public government data:
 - (a) date, time and place of the action;
- (b) agencies, units of agencies and individual agency personnel participating in the action unless the identities of agency personnel qualify for protection under subdivision 17;
 - (c) any resistance encountered by the agency;

- (d) any pursuit engaged in by the agency;
- (e) whether any weapons were used by the agency or other individuals;
- (f) a brief factual reconstruction of events associated with the action;
- (g) names and addresses of witnesses to the agency action or the incident unless the identity of any witness qualifies for protection under subdivision 17:
- (h) names and addresses of any victims or casualties unless the identities of those individuals qualify for protection under subdivision 17;
 - (i) the name and location of the health care facility to which victims or casualties were taken;
 - (j) response or incident report number;
 - (k) dates of birth of the parties involved in a traffic accident;
 - (l) whether the parties involved were wearing seat belts; and
 - (m) the alcohol concentration of each driver; and
 - (n) whether the agency used a portable recording system to document the agency's response or actions.
 - Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivision 7, is amended to read:
- Subd. 7. **Criminal investigative data.** Except for the data defined in subdivisions 2, 3, and 6, investigative data collected or created by a law enforcement agency in order to prepare a case against a person, whether known or unknown, for the commission of a crime or other offense for which the agency has primary investigative responsibility are confidential or protected nonpublic while the investigation is active. Inactive investigative data are public unless the release of the data would jeopardize another ongoing investigation or would reveal the identity of individuals protected under subdivision 17. <u>Images and recordings, including photographs, video, and audio records,</u> which are part of inactive investigative files and which are clearly offensive to common sensibilities are classified as private or nonpublic data, provided that the existence of the photographs images and recordings shall be disclosed to any person requesting access to the inactive investigative file. An investigation becomes inactive upon the occurrence of any of the following events:
 - (a) a decision by the agency or appropriate prosecutorial authority not to pursue the case;
- (b) expiration of the time to bring a charge or file a complaint under the applicable statute of limitations, or 30 years after the commission of the offense, whichever comes earliest; or
 - (c) exhaustion of or expiration of all rights of appeal by a person convicted on the basis of the investigative data.

Any investigative data presented as evidence in court shall be public. Data determined to be inactive under clause (a) may become active if the agency or appropriate prosecutorial authority decides to renew the investigation.

During the time when an investigation is active, any person may bring an action in the district court located in the county where the data are being maintained to authorize disclosure of investigative data. The court may order that all or part of the data relating to a particular investigation be released to the public or to the person bringing the action. In making the determination as to whether investigative data shall be disclosed, the court shall consider whether the benefit to the person bringing the action or to the public outweighs any harm to the public, to the agency or to any person identified in the data. The data in dispute shall be examined by the court in camera.

- Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivision 15, is amended to read:
- Subd. 15. **Public benefit data.** Any law enforcement agency may make any data classified as confidential or protected nonpublic pursuant to subdivision 7 or as private or nonpublic under section 13.825 accessible to any person, agency, or the public if the agency determines that the access will aid the law enforcement process, promote public safety, or dispel widespread rumor or unrest.

Sec. 5. [13.825] PORTABLE RECORDING SYSTEMS.

- <u>Subdivision 1.</u> <u>Application; definition.</u> (a) This section applies to law enforcement agencies that maintain a portable recording system for use in investigations, or in response to emergencies, incidents, and requests for <u>service.</u>
- (b) As used in this section, "portable recording system" means a device worn by a peace officer that is capable of both video and audio recording of the officer's activities and interactions with others or collecting digital multimedia evidence as part of an investigation.
- Subd. 2. Data classification; court-authorized disclosure. (a) Data collected by a portable recording system are private data on individuals or nonpublic data, except that the following data are public:
- (1) data which document a peace officer's use of force that results in substantial bodily harm, as defined in section 609.02, subdivision 7a; and
- (2) any data that a subject of the data requests be made accessible to the public, provided that data on a subject who is not a peace officer and who does not consent to the release must be redacted, if practicable.

A law enforcement agency may redact or withhold access to portions of data that are public under this subdivision, if those portions of data are clearly offensive to common sensibilities. Section 13.04, subdivision 2, does not apply to collection of data classified by this subdivision.

- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a):
- (1) portable recording system data that are criminal investigative data are classified as provided in section 13.82, subdivision 7, except that inactive criminal investigative data are classified by this subdivision;
- (2) portable recording system data that are public personnel data under section 13.43, subdivision 2, clause (5), are public; and
 - (3) data that are not public data under other provisions of this chapter retain that classification.
- (c) Any person may bring an action in the district court located in the county where portable recording system data are being maintained to authorize disclosure of data that are private or nonpublic under this section. The person bringing the action must give notice of the action to the law enforcement agency and subjects of the data, if known. The law enforcement agency must give notice to other subjects of the data, if known, who did not receive the notice from the person bringing the action. The court may order that all or part of the data be released to the public or to the person bringing the action. In making this determination, the court shall consider whether the benefit to the person bringing the action or to the public outweighs any harm to the public, to the law enforcement agency, or to a subject of the data. The data in dispute must be examined by the court in camera. This paragraph does not affect the right of a defendant in a criminal proceeding to obtain access to portable recording system data under the Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Subd. 3. Retention of data. Notwithstanding section 138.17:

- (1) portable recording system data that relate to an active or inactive criminal investigation must be retained for no longer than any applicable statute of limitations period has expired, or 180 days after the investigation becomes inactive, whichever is later; and
- (2) portable recording system data that do not relate to an active or inactive criminal investigation must be maintained for at least 90 days, and destroyed within 12 months of the date the data were collected.
- Subd. 4. Access by data subjects. (a) For purposes of this chapter, a portable recording system data subject includes the peace officer who collected the data, and any other individual or entity, including any other peace officer, whose image or voice is documented in the data. If an individual subject of portable recording system data is deceased, a representative of the decedent, as defined in section 13.10, subdivision 1, is a subject of the data for purposes of this chapter.
- (b) Subjects of portable recording system data, including peace officers, must be provided access to the data to the full extent required by this chapter while the data are retained. If a subject of private or nonpublic portable recording system data requests access to the data, data on any other subjects documented in the data who have not consented to the data's release must be redacted, if practicable.
- (c) Notwithstanding paragraph (b), a peace officer involved in an investigation or response to an incident must be permitted to review any portable recording system data that documents the investigation or incident response, unrestricted and its original form, prior to the officer's submission of a written report or formal statement on the investigation or response.
- <u>Subd. 5.</u> <u>Inventory of portable recording system technology.</u> A law enforcement agency that uses a portable recording system must maintain the following information, which is public data:
 - (1) the total number of recording devices owned or maintained by the agency;
- (2) a daily record of the total number of recording devices actually deployed and used by officers and, if applicable, the precincts in which they were used;
 - (3) the policies and procedures for use of portable recording systems required by section 626.8473; and
- (4) the total amount of recorded audio and video data collected by the portable recording system and maintained by the agency, the agency's retention schedule for the data, and the agency's procedures for destruction of the data.
- <u>Subd. 6.</u> <u>Use of agency-issued portable recording systems.</u> <u>While on duty, a peace officer may only use a portable recording system issued and maintained by the officer's agency in documenting the officer's activities.</u>
- Subd. 7. Authorization to access data. (a) A law enforcement agency must comply with sections 13.05, subdivision 5, and 13.055 in the operation of portable recording systems and in maintaining portable recording system data.
- (b) The responsible authority for a law enforcement agency must establish written procedures to ensure that law enforcement personnel have access to the portable recording system data that are not public only if authorized in writing by the chief of police, sheriff, or head of the law enforcement agency, or their designee, to obtain access to the data for a legitimate, specified law enforcement purpose. Consistent with the requirements of paragraph (c), each access must be based on a reasonable suspicion that the data are pertinent to an active criminal investigation and must include a record of the factual basis for the access and any associated case number, complaint, or incident that is the basis for the access.

- (c) The ability of authorized individuals to enter, update, or access portable recording system data must be limited through the use of role-based access that corresponds to the official duties or training level of the individual and the statutory authorization that grants access for that purpose. All queries and responses, and all actions in which data are entered, updated, accessed, shared, or disseminated, must be recorded in a data audit trail. Data contained in the audit trail are public, to the extent that the data are not otherwise classified by law.
- Subd. 8. Sharing among agencies. (a) Portable recording system data that are not public may only be shared with or disseminated to another law enforcement agency, a government entity, or a federal agency upon meeting the standards for requesting access to data as provided in subdivision 7.
- (b) If data collected by a portable recording system are shared with another state or local law enforcement agency under this subdivision, the agency that receives the data must comply with all data classification, destruction, and security requirements of this section.
- (c) Portable recording system data may not be shared with, disseminated to, sold to, or traded with any other individual or entity unless explicitly authorized by this section or other applicable law.
- Subd. 9. **Biennial audit.** (a) A law enforcement agency must maintain records showing the date and time portable recording system data were collected and the applicable classification of the data. The law enforcement agency shall arrange for an independent, biennial audit of the data to determine whether data are appropriately classified according to this section, how the data are used, and whether they are destroyed as required under this section, and to verify compliance with subdivisions 7 and 8. If the commissioner of administration believes that a law enforcement agency is not complying with this section or other applicable law, the commissioner may order a law enforcement agency to arrange for additional independent audits. Data in the records required under this paragraph are classified as provided in subdivision 2.
- (b) The results of the audit are public. The commissioner of administration shall review the results of the audit. If the commissioner determines that there is a pattern of substantial noncompliance with this section by the law enforcement agency, the agency must immediately suspend operation of all portable recording systems until the commissioner has authorized the agency to reinstate their use. An order of suspension under this paragraph may be issued by the commissioner upon review of the results of the audit, upon review of the applicable provisions of this chapter, and after providing the agency a reasonable opportunity to respond to the audit's findings.
- (c) A report summarizing the results of each audit must be provided to the commissioner of administration, to the chair and ranking minority members of the committees of the house of representatives and the senate with jurisdiction over data practices and public safety issues, and to the Legislative Commission on Data Practices and Personal Data Privacy no later than 30 days following completion of the audit.
- Subd. 10. Penalties for violation. In addition to any other remedies provided by law, in the case of a willful violation of this section a law enforcement agency is subject to exemplary damages of not less than twice the minimum, nor more than twice the maximum allowable for exemplary damages under section 13.08, subdivision 1.
- <u>EFFECTIVE DATE.</u> This section is effective August 1, 2016. Data collected before the effective date of this section must be destroyed, if required by this section, no later than 15 days after the date this section becomes effective.

Sec. 6. [626.8473] PORTABLE RECORDING SYSTEMS ADOPTION; WRITTEN POLICY REQUIRED.

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> <u>**Definition.**</u> <u>As used in this section, "portable recording system" has the meaning provided in section 13.825, subdivision 1.</u>

- Subd. 2. **Public comment.** A local law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment before it purchases or implements a portable recording system. At a minimum, the agency must accept public comments submitted electronically or by mail, and the governing body with jurisdiction over the law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment at a regularly-scheduled meeting.
- Subd. 3. Written policies and procedures required. (a) The chief officer of every state and local law enforcement agency that uses or proposes to use a portable recording system must establish and enforce a written policy governing its use. In developing and adopting the policy, the law enforcement agency must provide for public comment and input as provided in subdivision 1. Use of a portable recording system without adoption of a written policy meeting the requirements of this section is prohibited. The written policy must be posted on the agency's Web site.
 - (b) At a minimum, the written policy must incorporate the following:
- (1) the requirements of section 13.825 and other data classifications, access procedures, retention policies, and data security safeguards that, at a minimum, meet the requirements of chapter 13 and other applicable law;
 - (2) procedures for testing the portable recording system to ensure adequate functioning:
- (3) procedures to address a system malfunction or failure, including requirements for documentation by the officer using the system at the time of a malfunction or failure;
- (4) circumstances under which recording is mandatory, prohibited, or at the discretion of the officer using the system;
 - (5) circumstances under which a data subject must be given notice of a recording;
- (6) circumstances under which a recording may be ended while an investigation, response, or incident is ongoing;
 - (7) procedures for the secure storage of portable recording system data and the creation of backup copies of the data;
- (8) procedures to ensure compliance and address violations of the policy, which must include, at a minimum, supervisory or internal audits and reviews, and the employee discipline standards for unauthorized access to data contained in section 13.09; and
- (9) if applicable, any other standards for use contained in a uniform policy adopted by the Minnesota Chiefs of Police Association, the Minnesota Sheriffs' Association, or the Department of Public Safety.
- **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective August 1, 2016, provided that a law enforcement agency using a portable recording system on that date must adopt the policy required under this section no later than January 15, 2017.

Sec. 7. **LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR REVIEW.**

Beginning no earlier than January 1, 2019, the legislative auditor is requested to conduct a comprehensive review of compliance with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, sections 13.825 and 626.8473. Data used for purposes of the review must include the results of the biennial audits required by Minnesota Statutes, section 13.825, subdivision 9, and may also include any other data that, in the judgment of the legislative auditor, assists in

developing a complete understanding of any compliance or implementation issues resulting from enactment of those sections. The legislative auditor is requested to submit the results of the comprehensive review to the legislature no later than January 15, 2020."

Delete the title and insert:

"A bill for an act relating to data practices; classifying portable recording system data; establishing requirements for portable recording systems; requiring written policies and procedures; providing for damage awards; requiring a legislative auditor review; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivisions 6, 7, 15; Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 13.82, subdivision 2; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 13; 626."

With the recommendation that when so amended the bill be re-referred to the Committee on Public Safety and Crime Prevention Policy and Finance.

The report was adopted.

Pursuant to Joint Rule 2.03 and in accordance with Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 8, S. F. No. 498 was re-referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

SECOND READING OF SENATE BILLS

S. F. Nos. 1898, 2764, 3018 and 3216 were read for the second time.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF HOUSE BILLS

The following House Files were introduced:

Baker; Anderson, P., and Hamilton introduced:

H. F. No. 3999, A bill for an act relating to agriculture; establishing an apprentice farmer assistance program; authorizing income tax credits for participating established farmers; requiring rulemaking; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 290.06, by adding a subdivision; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 17.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Agriculture Policy.

Freiberg introduced:

H. F. No. 4000, A bill for an act relating to consumer protection; regulating security freezes on consumer reports; providing for payment of fees; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13C.016, subdivisions 1, 8.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Commerce and Regulatory Reform.

Mullery introduced:

H. F. No. 4001, A bill for an act relating to taxation; providing credits for hiring certain targeted individuals; providing a sales tax exemption for purchases of certain building materials; providing expanded tax increment financing authority to the city of Minneapolis; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, sections 297A.71, by adding a subdivision; 297A.75, subdivision 1; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 290.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Taxes.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

The following messages were received from the Senate:

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following House File, herewith returned:

H. F. No. 2870, A bill for an act relating to corrections; authorizing counties to continue participation in the community corrections subsidy program; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 401.02, subdivision 1.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following House File, herewith returned:

H. F. No. 2954, A bill for an act relating to commerce; regulating unfair practices in motor vehicle distribution; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 80E.13.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following Senate Files, herewith transmitted:

S. F. Nos. 1075, 1440, 2385, 2405, 2802, 2881, 3047, 3175, 3368.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

FIRST READING OF SENATE BILLS

S. F. No. 1075, A bill for an act relating to game and fish; requiring online applications for hunting and fishing licenses to provide for organ donation; requiring a report; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.7931, subdivision 6; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 97A.

The bill was read for the first time.

Anderson, S., moved that S. F. No. 1075 and H. F. No. 1182, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 1440, A bill for an act relating to health; making changes to the Minnesota prescription monitoring program; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 152.126, subdivisions 1, 3, 5, 6; repealing Laws 2014, chapter 286, article 7, section 4.

The bill was read for the first time.

Baker moved that S. F. No. 1440 and H. F. No. 1652, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 2385, A bill for an act relating to the Metropolitan Council; providing for staggered terms; expanding the membership of the nomination committee; requiring additional information to be made publicly available as part of the selection process; clarifying council member qualifications; requiring new advisory committee; establishing sewer availability charges stakeholder group; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, sections 473.123, subdivisions 2a, 3; 473.127.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on State Government Finance.

S. F. No. 2405, A bill for an act relating to energy; establishing an electric vehicle promotion program; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 216B.62, subdivision 2; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216B.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Job Growth and Energy Affordability Policy and Finance.

S. F. No. 2802, A bill for an act relating to cosmetology; regulating eyelash extension services; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 155A.23, by adding subdivisions; Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, sections 155A.23, subdivisions 8, 18; 155A.27, subdivision 1; 155A.271; 155A.29, subdivision 1.

The bill was read for the first time.

Franson moved that S. F. No. 2802 and H. F. No. 2389, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 2881, A bill for an act relating to human services; extending the sunset of an existing exception to the consumer-directed community supports budget methodology; amending Laws 2012, chapter 247, article 4, section 47, as amended.

The bill was read for the first time.

Mack moved that S. F. No. 2881 and H. F. No. 3276, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 3047, A bill for an act relating to health care; permitting health carriers to not renew certain conversion individual health plans; requiring notice to affected policyholders; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 62A.17, subdivision 6.

The bill was read for the first time.

Davids moved that S. F. No. 3047 and H. F. No. 3285, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 3175, A bill for an act relating to commerce; regulating bullion product dealers; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, sections 80G.01; 80G.02; 80G.03; 80G.04; 80G.05; 80G.06; 80G.07, subdivision 1; 80G.08; 80G.10; repealing Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 80G.07, subdivision 2.

The bill was read for the first time.

Hoppe moved that S. F. No. 3175 and H. F. No. 3309, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 3368, A bill for an act relating to transportation; modifying permit requirements for temporary use of certain rights-of-way; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 160.27, by adding subdivisions; Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 160.27, subdivision 10.

The bill was read for the first time.

Drazkowski moved that S. F. No. 3368 and H. F. No. 3723, now on the General Register, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

Peppin moved that the House recess subject to the call of the Chair. The motion prevailed.

RECESS

RECONVENED

The House reconvened and was called to order by the Speaker.

Halverson was excused between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 1:45 p.m.

There being no objection, the order of business reverted to Reports of Standing Committees and Divisions.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES AND DIVISIONS

Peppin from the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration to which was referred:

S. F. No. 498, A bill for an act relating to data practices; classifying portable recording system data; establishing requirements for the destruction of data; requiring policies; imposing requirements on vendors and providing for damage awards; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 13.82, subdivision 15, by adding subdivisions; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 626.

Reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill be re-referred to the Committee on Public Safety and Crime Prevention Policy and Finance.

Joint Rule 2.03 has been waived for any subsequent committee action on this bill.

The report was adopted.

CALENDAR FOR THE DAY

H. F. No. 1333 was reported to the House.

Scott moved to amend H. F. No. 1333, the second engrossment, as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 518.552, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

- Subd. 6. Cohabitation. (a) Spousal maintenance may be modified pursuant to section 518A.39, subdivision 2, based on the cohabitation by the maintenance obligee with another adult following dissolution of the marriage. The modification may consist of a reduction, suspension, reservation, or termination of maintenance. In determining if maintenance should be modified due to cohabitation, the court shall consider:
 - (1) whether the obligee would marry the cohabitant but for the maintenance award;
 - (2) the economic benefit the obligee derives from the cohabitation;
 - (3) the length of the cohabitation and the likely future duration of the cohabitation; and
 - (4) the economic impact on the obligee if maintenance is modified and the cohabitation ends.
- (b) The court must not modify a maintenance award based solely on cohabitation if a marriage between the obligee and the cohabitant would be prohibited under section 517.03, subdivision 1, clause (2) or (3). A modification under this subdivision must be precluded or limited to the extent the parties have entered into a private agreement under subdivision 5.
- (c) A motion to modify a spousal maintenance award on the basis of cohabitation may not be brought within one year of the date of entry of the decree of dissolution or legal separation that orders spousal maintenance, unless the parties have agreed in writing that a motion may be brought or the court finds that failing to allow the motion to proceed would create an extreme hardship for one of the parties.

EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective August 1, 2016, and applies to modification motions brought on or after that date."

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

H. F. No. 1333, A bill for an act relating to civil law; allowing for modification of spousal maintenance based on the cohabitation of the obligee; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 518.552, by adding a subdivision.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 112 yeas and 9 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright Allen Anderson, C. Anderson, M. Anderson, P. Anderson, S. Anzelc Applebaum Atkins Backer Baker Barrett Bennett Bly Carlson Christensen Cornish	Davnie Dean, M. Dehn, R. Dehm, R. Dettmer Drazkowski Ecklund Erickson Fabian Fenton Fischer Franson Green Gruenhagen Gunther Hackbarth Hamilton Hancock	Hertaus Hilstrom Hoppe Hornstein Hortman Howe Isaacson Johnson, B. Johnson, C. Johnson, S. Kelly Kiel Knoblach Koznick Kresha Lien Lillie	Loonan Lucero Lueck Mahoney Marquart McDonald McNamara Metsa Miller Mullery Murphy, E. Nash Nelson Newberger Newton Nornes Norton	Pelowski Peppin Persell Petersburg Peterson Pierson Pinto Poppe Pugh Quam Rarick Rosenthal Runbeck Sanders Schoen Schomacker Scott	Slocum Smith Sundin Swedzinski Theis Thissen Torkelson Uglem Urdahl Vogel Wagenius Whelan Wills Yarusso Youakim Zerwas Spk. Daudt
Cornish Daniels Davids	Hancock Hansen Heintzeman	Lillie Lohmer Loon	Norton O'Driscoll O'Neill	Scott Selcer Simonson	Spk. Daudt

Those who voted in the negative were:

Bernardy	Flanagan	Laine	Loeffler	Moran
Considine	Freiberg	Liebling	Masin	

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 2528, A bill for an act relating to natural resources; establishing David Dill memorial trail; appropriating money; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 85.015, subdivision 13.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 125 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Barrett	Dean, M.	Freiberg	Hoppe	Knoblach
Allen	Bennett	Dehn, R.	Green	Hornstein	Koznick
Anderson, C.	Bernardy	Dettmer	Gruenhagen	Hortman	Kresha
Anderson, M.	Bly	Drazkowski	Gunther	Howe	Laine
Anderson, P.	Carlson	Ecklund	Hackbarth	Isaacson	Lesch
Anderson, S.	Christensen	Erickson	Hamilton	Johnson, B.	Liebling
Anzelc	Considine	Fabian	Hancock	Johnson, C.	Lien
Applebaum	Cornish	Fenton	Hansen	Johnson, S.	Lillie
Atkins	Daniels	Fischer	Heintzeman	Kahn	Loeffler
Backer	Davids	Flanagan	Hertaus	Kelly	Lohmer
Baker	Davnie	Franson	Hilstrom	Kiel	Loon

Loonan	Miller	Norton	Poppe	Selcer	Urdahl
Lucero	Moran	O'Driscoll	Pugh	Simonson	Vogel
Lueck	Mullery	O'Neill	Quam	Slocum	Wagenius
Mack	Murphy, E.	Pelowski	Rarick	Smith	Whelan
Mahoney	Murphy, M.	Peppin	Rosenthal	Sundin	Wills
Marquart	Nash	Persell	Runbeck	Swedzinski	Yarusso
Masin	Nelson	Petersburg	Sanders	Theis	Youakim
McDonald	Newberger	Peterson	Schoen	Thissen	Zerwas
McNamara	Newton	Pierson	Schomacker	Torkelson	Spk. Daudt
Metsa	Nornes	Pinto	Scott	Uglem	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 3160 was reported to the House.

Anderson, M., moved to amend H. F. No. 3160 as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 97B.086, is amended to read:

97B.086 POSSESSION OF NIGHT VISION OR THERMAL IMAGING EQUIPMENT.

- (a) A person may not possess night vision or thermal imaging equipment while taking wild animals or while having in possession, either individually or as one of a group of persons, a firearm, bow, or other implement that could be used to take wild animals.
 - (b) This section does not apply to a firearm that is:
 - (1) unloaded;
- (2) in a gun case expressly made to contain a firearm that fully encloses the firearm by being zipped, snapped, buckled, tied, or otherwise fastened without any portion of the firearm exposed; and
 - (3) in the closed trunk of a motor vehicle.
 - (c) This section does not apply to a bow that is:
 - (1) completely encased or unstrung; and
 - (2) in the closed trunk of a motor vehicle.
- (d) If the motor vehicle under paragraph (b) or (c) does not have a trunk, the firearm or bow must be placed in the rearmost location of the vehicle.
 - (e) This section does not apply to night vision or thermal imaging equipment:

- (1) possessed by peace officers or military personnel while exercising their duties; or
- (2) possessed by an employee of a firearm or ammunition manufacturer for the purposes of testing a firearm, ammunition, or related product."

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

H. F. No. 3160, A bill for an act relating to game and fish; modifying night vision possession restrictions; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 97B.086.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 124 yeas and 2 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Davnie	Heintzeman	Loeffler	Newton	Selcer
Allen	Dean, M.	Hertaus	Lohmer	Nornes	Simonson
Anderson, C.	Dehn, R.	Hilstrom	Loon	O'Driscoll	Slocum
Anderson, M.	Dettmer	Норре	Loonan	O'Neill	Smith
Anderson, P.	Drazkowski	Hornstein	Lucero	Pelowski	Sundin
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Hortman	Lueck	Peppin	Swedzinski
Anzelc	Erhardt	Howe	Mack	Persell	Theis
Applebaum	Erickson	Isaacson	Mahoney	Petersburg	Thissen
Atkins	Fabian	Johnson, B.	Marquart	Peterson	Torkelson
Backer	Fenton	Johnson, C.	Masin	Pierson	Uglem
Baker	Fischer	Johnson, S.	McDonald	Pinto	Urdahl
Barrett	Flanagan	Kahn	McNamara	Poppe	Vogel
Bennett	Franson	Kelly	Metsa	Pugh	Wagenius
Bernardy	Freiberg	Kiel	Miller	Quam	Whelan
Bly	Green	Knoblach	Moran	Rarick	Wills
Carlson	Gruenhagen	Koznick	Mullery	Rosenthal	Yarusso
Christensen	Gunther	Kresha	Murphy, E.	Runbeck	Youakim
Considine	Hackbarth	Laine	Murphy, M.	Sanders	Zerwas
Cornish	Hamilton	Lesch	Nash	Schoen	Spk. Daudt
Daniels	Hancock	Lien	Nelson	Schomacker	
Davids	Hansen	Lillie	Newberger	Scott	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Liebling Norton

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 3135 was reported to the House.

Schomacker moved to amend H. F. No. 3135 as follows:

Page 1, line 15, after the period, insert "The commissioner must use existing resources from the game and fish fund to satisfy the requirements of this section."

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

H. F. No. 3135, A bill for an act relating to game and fish; modifying hunter satisfaction survey requirements; amending Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 97B.063.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 119 yeas and 7 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Davnie	Hansen	Lien	Newberger	Schomacker
Anderson, C.	Dean, M.	Heintzeman	Lillie	Newton	Scott
Anderson, M.	Dehn, R.	Hertaus	Loeffler	Nornes	Selcer
Anderson, P.	Dettmer	Hilstrom	Lohmer	O'Driscoll	Simonson
Anderson, S.	Drazkowski	Hoppe	Loon	O'Neill	Smith
Anzelc	Ecklund	Hornstein	Loonan	Pelowski	Sundin
Applebaum	Erhardt	Hortman	Lucero	Peppin	Swedzinski
Atkins	Erickson	Howe	Lueck	Persell	Theis
Backer	Fabian	Isaacson	Mack	Petersburg	Thissen
Baker	Fenton	Johnson, B.	Mahoney	Peterson	Torkelson
Barrett	Fischer	Johnson, C.	Marquart	Pierson	Uglem
Bennett	Flanagan	Johnson, S.	Masin	Pinto	Urdahl
Bernardy	Franson	Kahn	McDonald	Poppe	Vogel
Bly	Freiberg	Kelly	McNamara	Pugh	Whelan
Carlson	Green	Kiel	Metsa	Quam	Wills
Christensen	Gruenhagen	Knoblach	Miller	Rarick	Yarusso
Considine	Gunther	Koznick	Mullery	Rosenthal	Youakim
Cornish	Hackbarth	Kresha	Murphy, E.	Runbeck	Zerwas
Daniels	Hamilton	Laine	Murphy, M.	Sanders	Spk. Daudt
Davids	Hancock	Lesch	Nash	Schoen	_

Those who voted in the negative were:

Allen Liebling Norton Wagenius Clark Nelson Slocum

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

S. F. No. 2760, A bill for an act relating to state lands; providing for valuation of bond-financed property; modifying provisions for sale and exchange of state land; modifying prior sales authorization; providing for release of certain reversionary interest; designating state waysides and forests; adding to and deleting from state forests and parks; authorizing sales, conveyances, and exchange of certain state lands; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014,

sections 85.013, by adding a subdivision; 89.021, by adding a subdivision; 92.115, by adding a subdivision; 94.3495, subdivisions 2, 3, 7; Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, section 94.10, subdivision 2; Laws 2012, chapter 236, section 28, subdivisions 2, 5, 9; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 94.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 99 yeas and 28 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Dean, M.	Hertaus	Lucero	Pelowski	Sundin
Anderson, C.	Dehn, R.	Hoppe	Lueck	Peppin	Swedzinski
Anderson, M.	Dettmer	Howe	Mack	Petersburg	Theis
Anderson, P.	Drazkowski	Isaacson	Mahoney	Peterson	Torkelson
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Johnson, B.	Marquart	Pierson	Uglem
Anzelc	Erhardt	Johnson, C.	McDonald	Poppe	Urdahl
Atkins	Erickson	Kelly	McNamara	Pugh	Vogel
Backer	Fabian	Kiel	Metsa	Quam	Wagenius
Baker	Fenton	Knoblach	Miller	Rarick	Whelan
Barrett	Franson	Koznick	Mullery	Rosenthal	Wills
Bennett	Green	Kresha	Nash	Runbeck	Yarusso
Carlson	Gruenhagen	Liebling	Nelson	Sanders	Youakim
Christensen	Gunther	Lien	Newberger	Schomacker	Zerwas
Cornish	Hackbarth	Lillie	Newton	Scott	Spk. Daudt
Daniels	Hamilton	Lohmer	Nornes	Selcer	
Davids	Hancock	Loon	O'Driscoll	Simonson	
Davnie	Heintzeman	Loonan	O'Neill	Smith	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Allen	Considine	Hilstrom	Laine	Murphy, E.	Schoen
Applebaum	Fischer	Hornstein	Lesch	Murphy, M.	Slocum
Bernardy	Flanagan	Hortman	Loeffler	Norton	Thissen
Bly	Freiberg	Johnson, S.	Masin	Persell	
Clark	Hansen	Kahn	Moran	Pinto	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

The Speaker called Sanders to the Chair.

Fischer was excused between the hours of 1:50 p.m. and 2:40 p.m.

S. F. No. 2555, A bill for an act relating to local government; regulating zoning of temporary family health care dwellings; establishing temporary dwelling permits; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 144D.01, subdivision 4; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 394; 462.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 113 yeas and 17 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Daniels	Hamilton	Liebling	Murphy, M.	Sanders
Allen	Davids	Hancock	Lien	Nash	Schomacker
Anderson, C.	Davnie	Hansen	Lillie	Newberger	Schultz
Anderson, M.	Dean, M.	Heintzeman	Loeffler	Newton	Selcer
Anderson, P.	Dettmer	Hilstrom	Lohmer	Nornes	Smith
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Hoppe	Loon	O'Driscoll	Swedzinski
Applebaum	Erhardt	Hornstein	Loonan	O'Neill	Theis
Atkins	Erickson	Hortman	Lueck	Pelowski	Thissen
Backer	Fabian	Howe	Mack	Peppin	Torkelson
Baker	Fenton	Isaacson	Mahoney	Persell	Uglem
Barrett	Flanagan	Johnson, B.	Mariani	Petersburg	Urdahl
Bennett	Franson	Kahn	Marquart	Peterson	Vogel
Bernardy	Freiberg	Kelly	Masin	Pierson	Wagenius
Bly	Garofalo	Kiel	McDonald	Pinto	Whelan
Carlson	Green	Knoblach	McNamara	Poppe	Wills
Christensen	Gruenhagen	Koznick	Miller	Pugh	Yarusso
Clark	Gunther	Kresha	Moran	Rarick	Youakim
Considine	Hackbarth	Laine	Mullery	Rosenthal	Spk. Daudt
Cornish	Halverson	Lesch	Murphy, E.	Runbeck	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anzelc	Hertaus	Lucero	Norton	Scott	Sundin
Dehn, R.	Johnson, C.	Metsa	Quam	Simonson	Zerwas
Drazkowski	Johnson, S.	Nelson	Schoen	Slocum	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

Mahoney was excused for the remainder of today's session.

H. F. No. 2585 was reported to the House.

Nash moved to amend H. F. No. 2585, the first engrossment, as follows:

Page 1, lines 13 to 14, delete the new language

Page 1, line 22, after "ordinance" insert "by a statutory or home rule charter city"

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

H. F. No. 2585, A bill for an act relating to local government; requiring a public hearing after ten-day notice before imposing an interim ordinance relating to housing; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 462.355, subdivision 4.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 82 yeas and 47 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Dettmer	Hancock	Lillie	Nornes	Scott
Anderson, C.	Drazkowski	Heintzeman	Lohmer	Norton	Smith
Anderson, M.	Erhardt	Hertaus	Loon	O'Driscoll	Swedzinski
Anderson, P.	Erickson	Hilstrom	Loonan	O'Neill	Theis
Anderson, S.	Fabian	Hoppe	Lucero	Peppin	Thissen
Backer	Fenton	Howe	Lueck	Petersburg	Torkelson
Baker	Franson	Johnson, B.	Mack	Peterson	Uglem
Barrett	Garofalo	Kelly	Marquart	Pierson	Urdahl
Bennett	Green	Kiel	McDonald	Pugh	Vogel
Christensen	Gruenhagen	Knoblach	McNamara	Quam	Whelan
Cornish	Gunther	Koznick	Miller	Rarick	Wills
Daniels	Hackbarth	Kresha	Nash	Runbeck	Spk. Daudt
Davids	Halverson	Lesch	Nelson	Sanders	
Dean, M.	Hamilton	Lien	Newberger	Schomacker	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Allen	Considine	Hortman	Mariani	Pelowski	Simonson
Anzelc	Davnie	Isaacson	Masin	Persell	Slocum
Applebaum	Dehn, R.	Johnson, C.	Metsa	Pinto	Sundin
Atkins	Ecklund	Johnson, S.	Moran	Poppe	Wagenius
Bernardy	Flanagan	Kahn	Mullery	Rosenthal	Yarusso
Bly	Freiberg	Laine	Murphy, E.	Schoen	Youakim
Carlson	Hansen	Liebling	Murphy, M.	Schultz	Zerwas
Clark	Hornstein	Loeffler	Newton	Selcer	

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 2625, A bill for an act relating to local government; extending the response time residency requirement for Richfield firefighters; amending Laws 2010, chapter 207, section 1.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 81 yeas and 49 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Allen	Anzelc	Barrett	Bly	Considine	Dehn, R.
Anderson, C.	Applebaum	Bennett	Carlson	Cornish	Dettmer
Anderson, P.	Atkins	Bernardy	Clark	Davids	Ecklund

Erhardt Fischer Flanagan Franson Freiberg Gunther Halverson Hamilton Hansen	Hortman Isaacson Johnson, C. Johnson, S. Kahn Knoblach Kresha Laine Lesch	Lillie Loeffler Loonan Mariani Marquart Masin Metsa Moran Mullery	Nelson Newton Nornes Norton O'Driscoll Pelowski Persell Petersburg Peterson	Poppe Rarick Rosenthal Schoen Schomacker Schultz Selcer Simonson Slocum	Thissen Torkelson Uglem Urdahl Wagenius Wills Yarusso Youakim
			U		Todakiiii

Those who voted in the negative were:

Albright	Drazkowski	Heintzeman	Loon	O'Neill	Vogel
Anderson, M.	Erickson	Hertaus	Lucero	Peppin	Whelan
Anderson, S.	Fabian	Hoppe	Lueck	Pugh	Zerwas
Backer	Fenton	Howe	Mack	Quam	Spk. Daudt
Baker	Garofalo	Johnson, B.	McDonald	Runbeck	
Christensen	Green	Kelly	McNamara	Sanders	
Daniels	Gruenhagen	Kiel	Miller	Scott	
Davnie	Hackbarth	Koznick	Nash	Smith	
Dean, M.	Hancock	Lohmer	Newberger	Swedzinski	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 3590, A bill for an act relating to criminal justice; requiring a study on establishing an earned compliance credit program for persons under correctional supervision; requiring a report.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 130 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright Allen Anderson, C. Anderson, M. Anderson, P. Anderson, S. Anzelc Applebaum Atkins Backer Baker Barrett Bennett Bernardy Bly Carlson Christensen	Considine Cornish Daniels Davids Davnie Dean, M. Dehn, R. Dettmer Drazkowski Ecklund Erhardt Erickson Fabian Fenton Fischer Flanagan Franson	Garofalo Green Gruenhagen Gunther Hackbarth Halverson Hamilton Hancock Hansen Heintzeman Hertaus Hilstrom Hoppe Hornstein Hortman Howe Isaacson	Johnson, C. Johnson, S. Kahn Kelly Kiel Knoblach Koznick Kresha Laine Lesch Liebling Lien Lillie Loeffler Lohmer Loonan	Lueck Mack Mariani Marquart Masin McDonald McNamara Metsa Miller Moran Mullery Murphy, E. Murphy, M. Nash Nelson Newberger Newton	Norton O'Driscoll O'Neill Pelowski Peppin Persell Petersburg Peterson Pierson Pinto Poppe Pugh Quam Rarick Rosenthal Runbeck Sanders
Carlson Christensen Clark	Flanagan Franson Freiberg	Howe Isaacson Johnson, B.	Loon Loonan Lucero	Newberger Newton Nornes	Runbeck Sanders Schoen
	C	* *			

Schomacker	Simonson	Swedzinski	Uglem	Whelan	Zerwas
Schultz	Slocum	Theis	Urdahl	Wills	Spk. Daudt
Scott	Smith	Thissen	Vogel	Yarusso	
Selcer	Sundin	Torkelson	Wagenius	Youakim	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

Speaker pro tempore Sanders called Garofalo to the Chair.

S. F. No. 2426, A bill for an act relating to corrections; excluding medical treatment for mental health from inmate co-payment; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 243.212.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 130 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

A 11 . 1 . 4	D .	17	T '11'	NT.	G
Albright	Davnie	Hansen	Lillie	Newton	Scott
Allen	Dean, M.	Heintzeman	Loeffler	Nornes	Selcer
Anderson, C.	Dehn, R.	Hertaus	Lohmer	Norton	Simonson
Anderson, M.	Dettmer	Hilstrom	Loon	O'Driscoll	Slocum
Anderson, P.	Drazkowski	Hoppe	Loonan	O'Neill	Smith
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Hornstein	Lucero	Pelowski	Sundin
Anzelc	Erhardt	Hortman	Lueck	Peppin	Swedzinski
Applebaum	Erickson	Howe	Mack	Persell	Theis
Atkins	Fabian	Isaacson	Mariani	Petersburg	Thissen
Backer	Fenton	Johnson, B.	Marquart	Peterson	Torkelson
Baker	Fischer	Johnson, C.	Masin	Pierson	Uglem
Barrett	Flanagan	Johnson, S.	McDonald	Pinto	Urdahl
Bennett	Franson	Kahn	McNamara	Poppe	Vogel
Bernardy	Freiberg	Kelly	Metsa	Pugh	Wagenius
Bly	Garofalo	Kiel	Miller	Quam	Whelan
Carlson	Green	Knoblach	Moran	Rarick	Wills
Christensen	Gruenhagen	Koznick	Mullery	Rosenthal	Yarusso
Clark	Gunther	Kresha	Murphy, E.	Runbeck	Youakim
Considine	Hackbarth	Laine	Murphy, M.	Sanders	Zerwas
Cornish	Halverson	Lesch	Nash	Schoen	Spk. Daudt
Daniels	Hamilton	Liebling	Nelson	Schomacker	
Davids	Hancock	Lien	Newberger	Schultz	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 2552, A bill for an act relating to orders for protection; eliminating mandatory hearing requirement for subsequent orders and extensions; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 518B.01, subdivisions 6a, 11, 18.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 130 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Davnie	Hansen	Lillie	Newton	Scott
Albright		Heintzeman	Loeffler		Selcer
Allen	Dean, M.			Nornes	
Anderson, C.	Dehn, R.	Hertaus	Lohmer	Norton	Simonson
Anderson, M.	Dettmer	Hilstrom	Loon	O'Driscoll	Slocum
Anderson, P.	Drazkowski	Hoppe	Loonan	O'Neill	Smith
Anderson, S.	Ecklund	Hornstein	Lucero	Pelowski	Sundin
Anzelc	Erhardt	Hortman	Lueck	Peppin	Swedzinski
Applebaum	Erickson	Howe	Mack	Persell	Theis
Atkins	Fabian	Isaacson	Mariani	Petersburg	Thissen
Backer	Fenton	Johnson, B.	Marquart	Peterson	Torkelson
Baker	Fischer	Johnson, C.	Masin	Pierson	Uglem
Barrett	Flanagan	Johnson, S.	McDonald	Pinto	Urdahl
Bennett	Franson	Kahn	McNamara	Poppe	Vogel
Bernardy	Freiberg	Kelly	Metsa	Pugh	Wagenius
Bly	Garofalo	Kiel	Miller	Quam	Whelan
Carlson	Green	Knoblach	Moran	Rarick	Wills
Christensen	Gruenhagen	Koznick	Mullery	Rosenthal	Yarusso
Clark	Gunther	Kresha	Murphy, E.	Runbeck	Youakim
Considine	Hackbarth	Laine	Murphy, M.	Sanders	Zerwas
Cornish	Halverson	Lesch	Nash	Schoen	Spk. Daudt
Daniels	Hamilton	Liebling	Nelson	Schomacker	
Davids	Hancock	Lien	Newberger	Schultz	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

H. F. No. 2553, A bill for an act relating to orders for protection; eliminating respondent filing fee requirements; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 518B.01, subdivision 3a.

The bill was read for the third time and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 130 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albright	Bernardy	Drazkowski	Gunther	Isaacson	Lien
Allen	Bly	Ecklund	Hackbarth	Johnson, B.	Lillie
Anderson, C.	Carlson	Erhardt	Halverson	Johnson, C.	Loeffler
Anderson, M.	Christensen	Erickson	Hamilton	Johnson, S.	Lohmer
Anderson, P.	Clark	Fabian	Hancock	Kahn	Loon
Anderson, S.	Considine	Fenton	Hansen	Kelly	Loonan
Anzelc	Cornish	Fischer	Heintzeman	Kiel	Lucero
Applebaum	Daniels	Flanagan	Hertaus	Knoblach	Lueck
Atkins	Davids	Franson	Hilstrom	Koznick	Mack
Backer	Davnie	Freiberg	Hoppe	Kresha	Mariani
Baker	Dean, M.	Garofalo	Hornstein	Laine	Marquart
Barrett	Dehn, R.	Green	Hortman	Lesch	Masin
Bennett	Dettmer	Gruenhagen	Howe	Liebling	McDonald

McNamara	Newberger	Petersburg	Runbeck	Smith	Wagenius
Metsa	Newton	Peterson	Sanders	Sundin	Whelan
Miller	Nornes	Pierson	Schoen	Swedzinski	Wills
Moran	Norton	Pinto	Schomacker	Theis	Yarusso
Mullery	O'Driscoll	Poppe	Schultz	Thissen	Youakim
Murphy, E.	O'Neill	Pugh	Scott	Torkelson	Zerwas
Murphy, M.	Pelowski	Quam	Selcer	Uglem	Spk. Daudt
Nash	Peppin	Rarick	Simonson	Urdahl	
Nelson	Persell	Rosenthal	Slocum	Vogel	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

There being no objection, the order of business reverted to Messages from the Senate.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

The following messages were received from the Senate:

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following House File, herewith returned:

H. F. No. 71, A bill for an act relating to public safety; creating an enhanced penalty for criminal vehicular homicide occurring within ten years of a qualified offense; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, sections 609.2111; 609.2112, subdivision 1; 609.2114, subdivision 1.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following House File, herewith returned:

H. F. No. 2478, A bill for an act relating to workers' compensation; adopting recommendations of the Workers' Compensation Advisory Council; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, sections 176.011, subdivision 7a; 176.081, subdivisions 1, 3; 176.137, subdivisions 1, 4, by adding a subdivision; 176.331; 176.361, subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, by adding a subdivision; 176.471, subdivisions 3, 5; 176.511, subdivisions 2, 3; 176.571, subdivision 1; Minnesota Statutes 2015 Supplement, sections 176.135, subdivision 7a; 176.136, subdivision 1b.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the Senate refuses to concur in the House amendments to the following Senate File:

S. F. No. 2527, A bill for an act relating to natural resources; appropriating money from outdoor heritage fund; modifying evaluation provisions; modifying prior appropriations; amending Minnesota Statutes 2014, section 97A.056, subdivision 10; Laws 2015, First Special Session chapter 2, article 1, section 2, subdivisions 2, 3, 5.

The Senate respectfully requests that a Conference Committee be appointed thereon. The Senate has appointed as such committee:

Senators Saxhaug, Sparks, Lourey, Ingebrigtsen and Cohen.

Said Senate File is herewith transmitted to the House with the request that the House appoint a like committee.

JOANNE M. ZOFF, Secretary of the Senate

Urdahl moved that the House accede to the request of the Senate and that the Speaker appoint a Conference Committee of 5 members of the House to meet with a like committee appointed by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two houses on S. F. No. 2527. The motion prevailed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The Speaker announced the appointment of the following members of the House to a Conference Committee on S. F. No. 2527:

Urdahl, McNamara, Torkelson, Heintzeman and Lillie.

REPORTS FROM THE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATION

Peppin from the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration, pursuant to rules 1.21 and 3.33, designated the following bills to be placed on the Calendar for the Day for Thursday, May 12, 2016 and established a prefiling requirement for amendments offered to the following bills:

H. F. Nos. 1291, 1372, 2607, 3142, 3469, 3588, 3699 and 3925; and S. F. Nos. 2315, 3162 and 3262.

Peppin from the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration, pursuant to rules 1.21 and 3.33, designated the following bills to be placed on the Calendar for the Day for Friday, May 13, 2016 and established a prefiling requirement for amendments offered to the following bills:

H. F. Nos. 1365, 2294, 2554, 3384 and 3489; and S. F. Nos. 1111, 1425, 2428, 2548, 2963 and 3317.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Scott moved that the name of Persell be added as an author on H. F. No. 1333. The motion prevailed.

Peterson moved that the name of Murphy, E., be added as an author on H. F. No. 2222. The motion prevailed.

Johnson, C., moved that his name be stricken as an author on H. F. No. 2955. The motion prevailed.

Vogel moved that the name of Fenton be added as an author on H. F. No. 2970. The motion prevailed.

Quam moved that the name of Murphy, M., be added as an author on H. F. No. 3328. The motion prevailed.

Fabian moved that the name of Fenton be added as an author on H. F. No. 3377. The motion prevailed.

Fabian moved that the name of Hertaus be added as an author on H. F. No. 3409. The motion prevailed.

Runbeck moved that the name of Hertaus be added as an author on H. F. No. 3998. The motion prevailed.

ADJOURNMENT

Peppin moved that when the House adjourns today it adjourn until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 12, 2016. The motion prevailed.

Peppin moved that the House adjourn. The motion prevailed, and Speaker pro tempore Garofalo declared the House stands adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 12, 2016.

PATRICK D. MURPHY, Chief Clerk, House of Representatives